



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,052	04/04/2001	Bryan Raudenbush	UWHEE-1	1069

7590 02/13/2002

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.  
ARLINGTON COURTHOUSE PLAZA I  
SUITE 1400  
2200 CLARENDON BOULEVARD  
ARLINGTON, VA 22201

EXAMINER

MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3738

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/825,052

Applicant(s)

RAUDENBUSH, BRYAN

Examiner

William H. Matthews (Howie)

Art Unit

3738

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 October 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The terms ortho-nasal and retro-nasal are not clearly and specifically defined in the specification.

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "the mucus membrane" is indefinite. For examination purposes, the examiner interprets the phrase to mean "a mucous membrane."

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3738

5. Claims 1, 10, 12-14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Delmore et al. (EP 1033118).

Regarding claims 1, 10, and 16, Delmore et al. discloses a method of inhaling peppermint oil vapors for increasing athletic performance of humans (see column 1, lines 12-26 of column 1 and lines 9-54 of column 7).

Regarding claim 12, Delmore et al. inherently discloses the administration of peppermint odor through mucous membranes because inhalation of vapors will cause the vapors to contact mucous membranes.

Regarding claim 13, lines 34-52 of column 6 describe the use of peppermint odor releasing polymer.

Regarding claim 14, figure 1 shows an impregnated nasal strip.

6. Claims 1-10, 12, 13, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weil et al. (DE 3931150).

Regarding claims 1, 10, 12, 13, 15, and 16 Weil et al. discloses a method of overcoming bodily or mental fatigue of a human through the use of a peppermint odorant contained within a polymer (the mixture of oils) administered by a 5 cc vessel (see abstract).

Regarding claims 1-9, athletic performance and the limitations of claims 2-9 are inherent aspects of bodily fatigue.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 2-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delmore et al. (EP 1033118).

Regarding claims 2-6, Delmore et al. does not specifically disclose the various forms of increased athletic performance. However, speed, strength, endurance, fatigue, and perceived workload are well-understood factors that determine athletic performance. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Delmore et al. by improving athletic performance by adjusting levels of strength, endurance, fatigue, and perceived workload.

Regarding claims 7-9, Delmore et al. does not specifically disclose the athletic performance as either anaerobic or aerobic. However, anaerobic and aerobic athletic performances are well-understood types of athletic performance. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method taught by Delmore et al. to include anaerobic or aerobic athletic performances.

Art Unit: 3738

9. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weil et al. (DE 3931150) in view of Xiao (CN 1285154).

Weil et al. meets the limitations of claim 11 except for administration through the mouth. Xiao teaches the administration of peppermint leaf in a liquid tea drink for resisting fatigue (see abstract). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method taught by Weil et al. to include the step of administering peppermint through the mouth of a patient by drinking a tea as taught by Xiao.

10. Claims 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delmore et al. (EP 1033118) in view of Xiao (CN 1285154).

Delmore et al. meets the limitations of claims 11 and 15 except Delmore et al. does not disclose administering the peppermint odorant through the mouth by a gum, lozenge, or food product. Xiao teaches the administration of peppermint leaf in a liquid tea drink for resisting fatigue (see abstract). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method taught by Delmore et al. to include the step of administering peppermint through the mouth of a patient by drinking a tea as taught by Xiao.

11. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weil et al. (DE 3931150) in view of Cronk et al. (U.S. PN 5,706,800).

Weil et al. meets the limitation of claim 14 except for administering the peppermint odorant by use of a nasal dilator. Cronk et al. discloses the use of a medicated (menthol) nasal dilator for increasing breathing. Therefore it would have

Art Unit: 3738

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method taught by Weil et al. to include administering peppermint on a nasal dilator as taught by Cronk et al. in order to increase athletic performance as well as increase breathing functions.

12. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delmore et al. (EP 1033118) or Weil et al. (DE 003931150) in view of Stephens (The horse scents guide to good health, 2000).

Delmore et al. meets the limitations of claim 17 except Delmore et al. does not disclose the mammal being a horse. Stephens teaches the application of aromatherapy to both humans and horses in order to alleviate physical and emotional problems. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the method taught by Delmore et al. to a horse as taught by Stephens.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number is 703-305-0316. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00-4:30 (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Application/Control Number: 09/825,052

Page 7

Art Unit: 3738

308-2708 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

WBM

WHM

February 11, 2002



Paul B. Prebille  
Primary Examiner

